

From: [R6HarveyRICT](#)
To: [Edlund, Carl](#); [Carroll, Craig](#)
Cc: [Peterson, Mary](#); [Gray, David](#); [Smalley, Bryant](#); [Rauscher, Jon](#); [Smith, Monica](#); [Charters, David](#); [R6HarveyRICT](#)
Subject: Re: M6H1 Harvey - Aspect
Date: Thursday, September 7, 2017 11:46:32 PM

Carl,

David Charters and I discussed your note below and aren't exactly sure what you are looking for. The narrative explains the comparison to the Texas values. Are you looking to have those defined more? David Gray had approved this as it is, so if you both agree to another change, David Charters can change it in a few minutes first thing in the morning.

Scott

From: Edlund, Carl
Sent: Thursday, September 7, 2017 9:38 PM
To: Carroll, Craig
Cc: Peterson, Mary; Gray, David; Smalley, Bryant; Rauscher, Jon; R6HarveyRICT; Smith, Monica
Subject: Re: M6H1 Harvey - Aspect

Thanks all...but we don't say what this means. Are we saying:
that ASPECT flew a path on a period of time (shown on an attached map)
That the track of overflight showed XXXXXX regarding public safety.

I don't care about the specific algorithm, but we need to communicate straight with a worried public.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 7, 2017, at 7:28 PM, Carroll, Craig <Carroll.Craig@epa.gov> wrote:

[Carl/Mary,](#)

[Attached is the EU summary for ASPECT air monitoring data from the Arkema response.](#)

[Craig](#)

From: Rauscher, Jon

Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 7:04 PM
To: Carroll, Craig <Carroll.Craig@epa.gov>
Cc: R6HarveyENVL <R6HarveyENVL@epa.gov>
Subject: M6H1 Harvey - Aspect

<M6H1 Hurricane Harvey - Aspect_09072017.docx>

<Positive Detections v6.pdf>